



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/522,520 | 08/14/2006 | Gerd Mossakowski | 102132-24 | 1458 |
| 27388 | 7590 | 10/07/2008 | EXAMINER | |
| NORRIS, MC LAUGHLIN & MARCUS | | | DOAN, KIET M | |
| 875 THIRD AVE | | | | |
| 18TH FLOOR | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| NEW YORK, NY 10022 | | | 2617 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/07/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/522,520 | MOSSAKOWSKI, GERD | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | KIET DOAN | 2617 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 January 2005.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 15-26 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 15-26 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 January 2005 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/16/07; 01/27/05.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 16-25 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 16-25 are dependent on the cancelled claim 1. Examiner believe claim 16-25 dependent on the claim 15. Appropriate correction is required.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) file on 01/27/2007 the examiner cross out "Copy International Report" due to no date and year.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 15, 18, 20-23 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rohlfing et al. (US 2008/0170505 A1) in view of Fillebrown et al. (US 2002/0056114 A1).

5. Consider claims 15 and 26. Rohlfing teaches a method for video object monitoring with a mobile communication system, wherein for transmitting video data via the mobile communication system a connection is set up between a transmitter provided with a video camera and at least one receiver (Paragraph [0032-0034], Fig.2 show the connection set up between a transmitter provided with a video camera 210 and at least one receiver 270), the method comprising the steps of

before or while the connection is set up, checking by a device of the mobile communication system if the receiver is authorized to receive video data from the transmitter (Paragraph [0034] teach data base server 254 that authenticate the user on the remote client 270), and checking that a subscriber relationship (8, 9) of the mobile communication system and/or a temporary IP address is associated with a corresponding transmitter and receiver, wherein the two subscriber relationships and/or the IP addresses are linked in a database of the operator (11) of the mobile communication system (Paragraphs [0032-0035], [0038], Fig.2, show server 252 and database server 254 as authenticating/checking the relation ship between computer video system 210 and remote client system 270 wherein the two 210 and 270 couple to internet 240 which operating in IP address).

Rohlfing fails to explicitly teach checking that an authorization of the receiver for receiving the video data from the transmitter, based on the linked data.

In an analogous art, Fillebrown teaches checking that an authorization of the receiver for receiving the video data from the transmitter (Paragraphs [0074-0076]), based on the linked data (Paragraph [0065]).

It would have been obvious at the time that the invention was made to modify Rohlfing with Fillebrown's system, such that checking the mobile device if the mobile is authorized to receive video data transmit in order to improve the security and deliver the video data to the right user.

Consider **claim 18**. The combination of Rohlfing and Fillebrown teach the method according to claim 1. Further, Rohlfing teaches comprising the step of storing routing rules for transmitting video data between the transmitter and receiver in the database (Paragraph [0034]).

Consider **claim 20**. The combination of Rohlfing and Fillebrown teach the method according to claim 1. Further, Rohlfing teaches comprising the step of controlling access to the mobile communication system in the form of an identification and authentication of the transmitter and the receiver based on the data stored on the subscriber identification module (5, 6) (Paragraph [0034] Fi.2, show server 252 and 254 wherein identification and authentication).

Consider **claim 21**. The combination of Rohlfing and Fillebrown teach the method according to claim 1. Further, Rohlfing teaches comprising the step of setting up a connection or transmitting data only upon a request from the transmitter and/or the receiver (Paragraph [0033]).

Consider **claim 22**. The combination of Rohlfing and Fillebrown teach the method according to claim 1. Further, Rohlfing teaches comprising the step of setting up a connection or transmitting data between transmitter and receiver only based on a triggering event.

Consider **claim 23**. The combination of Rohlfing and Fillebrown teach the method according to claim 1, Further, Fillebrown teaches comprising the step of transmitting audio data and/or data from sensors located on the transmitter-side in addition to the video data (Paragraph [0054-0055]).

6. Claims 16, 17, 19, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rohlfing et al. (US 2008/0170505 A1) in view of Fillebrown et al. (US 2002/0056114 A1) and further view of Stubbs (US 6,930,994 B1).

Consider **claim 16**. The combination of Rohlfing and Fillebrown teach the method according to claim 15, **but is silent on** comprising steps of storing information about an international mobile subscriber identification (IMSI) and/or a mobile subscriber telephone number (MSISDN) and/or an IP address assigned to the transmitter and the receiver in the database.

In an analogous art, Stubbs teaches comprising steps of storing information about an international mobile subscriber identification (IMSI) and/or a mobile subscriber telephone number (MSISDN) and/or an IP address assigned to the transmitter and the receiver in the database (Col.1, lines 55-65, Fig.1, show switching center 2 wherein storing international mobile subscriber identification (IMSI)).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time that the invention was made to modify Rohlfing and Fillebrown with Stubbs's system such that storing information about an international mobile subscriber identification (IMSI) in order to improve the controlling data that transmitted to the correct mobile device.

Consider **claim 17**. The combination of Rohlfing and Fillebrown teach the method according to claim 1. Further, Stubbs teaches a step of setting up a connection between transmitter and receiver by dialing the associated mobile subscriber telephone number (MSISDN) or an IP address (Col.1, lines 55-65).

Consider **claim 19**. The combination of Rohlfing and Fillebrown teach the method according to claim 1. Further, Stubbs teaches comprising the step of requiring a corresponding subscriber identification module SIM (5, 6) of the mobile communication system for operating the transmitter and the receiver (Col.8, lines 34-40).

Consider **claim 24**. The combination of Rohlfing and Fillebrown teach the method according to claim 1. Further, Stubbs teaches comprising the step of implementing the mobile communication system as a GSM or UMTS mobile communication system (Col.1, lines 40-53).

Consider **claim 25**. The combination of Rohlfing and Fillebrown teach the method according to claim 1. Further, Stubbs teaches comprising the step of transmitting the video data in form of transmission protocols that are standardized for use in the mobile communication system (Col.3, lines 1-17).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

1. Chen et al. (US 2003/0050062 A1).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KIET DOAN whose telephone number is (571)272-7863. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Appiah can be reached on 571-272-7904. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kiet Doan/
Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/Charles N. Appiah/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617